

# 26 y/1/96  
RECEIVED

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APR 0 1 1996

Applicant	:	Jerome H. Lemelson	Art Unit	:	3202	<b>GROUP 3200</b>
Serial No.	:	07/049,381	Examiner	:	Howell	
Filed	:	5/13/87				
Title	:	Machine Tool System				

---

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**LETTER**

Dear Sir:

In companion application Serial No. 07/126,319, the Examiner indicated that he had been unable to locate the file histories for the following applications (although continuations of all of these have been found):

- (1) Lemelson SN 04/858,560, filed 8/29/69, issued as USP 3,854,889
- (2) Lemelson SN 04/465,812, filed 4/8/65, issued as USP 3,313,014
- (3) Williamson SN 04/636,993, filed 5/8/67.

Of course, the Examiner has reviewed the issued '889 and '014 applications, as well as the specification as filed of the intermediate application 629,758, filed April 10, 1967, abandoned.

Applicant encloses copies of two of those three above-listed applications, for the Examiner's convenience. First, a copy of the missing Williamson application was provided to applicant's attorney during the Molins v. Textron case, and applicant encloses a copy of what he received. Second, applicant has previously determined that the file history of the Lemelson '889 Patent is part of a single file history for the 1967 application, as the 858,560 application that led to the '889 Patent was a "streamlined continuation" of the 1967 application. A copy of the dual file history for those two

applications is also enclosed, and for the Examiner's ease of reference, applicant has rubber-banded the two halves of that combination file separately.

The undersigned respectfully reminds the Examiner that we have previously offered several times to provide copies of any missing applications or references that the Examiner requires, and to be of assistance in any other way. We will continue to search for the file history of the Lemelson '014 Patent. If the Examiner needs any assistance on other issues, please feel free to call.

Applicant further respectfully requests that the Examiner use the copies enclosed for all four applications that he is examining, to avoid the need to file this paper or the bulky attachments in every one of those copending applications.

In addition, if the Examiner considers it appropriate to forward the copies enclosed to the Board of Appeals and Interferences, for use in Patent Interference No. 103,740 (SN 07/126,319), applicant would wholeheartedly support that idea. At least the Examiner should advise the Board of receipt of these copies, in the event that they are needed.

Applicant further requests that the Examiner endeavor to make sure that his conclusions to date in the 07/126,319 application continue to be valid after review of the enclosed. (Applicant has no reason to suspect that the enclosed would alter those conclusions, though.)

A prompt declaration of interference is still requested in this case. Applicant understands that the Examiner will return to examination of this case next week.

If there are any questions, please telephone the undersigned attorney for applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

JEROME H. LEMELSON  
by his attorney



Dated: March 26, 1996

---

Louis J. Hoffman  
Reg. No. 38,918

LOUIS J. HOFFMAN, P.C.  
15150 North Hayden Road  
Suite 202  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85260  
(602) 948-3295

Certification

I hereby certify that this paper with enclosed file histories listed is being hand-delivered this ~~27th day of March, 1996~~, to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

*1st day of April, 1996*

By: 

Name: Myron Tereshchuk

RECEIPT IS ACKNOWLEDGED OF a document entitled "Letter" with enclosed file histories listed: